

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 88 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.5.2018

Kamalpreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Raminder Pal Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Gageha, Advocate, for,
Mr. Vivek Baghla, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. A.S. Gill, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply already filed.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, i.e. petition No. HMA 49/5.11.12 titled as *Raminder Pal Singh Versus Kamalpreet Kaur*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Faridabad.

The learned counsel for respondent has opposed the petition on the ground that earlier in the year 2010, an FIR was registered against petitioner at the instance of his wife, in which he was tried and acquitted. The acquittal was upheld in appeal. Therefore, he apprehends that if he goes to Faridabad, he may be involved in some other case.

TA No. 88 of 2018 (O/M)

Considering the difficulty expressed by respondent and also considering plea of petitioner, it is deemed proper to transfer case to a place where both parties are comfortable. Accordingly, petition, i.e. petition No. HMA 49/5.11.12 titled as *Raminder Pal Singh Versus Kamalpreet Kaur*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to Court of learned District Judge, Ambala, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No