

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.85 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 04, 2018**

Sarita

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Govind Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. K.S. Malik, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

In terms of the last order, learned counsel for the petitioner has got instructions from the petitioner and states that the petitioner has no objection, if petition bearing No.DMC/863/2017, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Pawan Kumar vs Sarita*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak is transferred to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jhajjar Courts instead of Gurgugram Courts.

Respondent is ready to pay the travelling expenses to the petitioner on her appearance at Jhajjar Courts to pursue her case.

As such, the petition bearing No.DMC/863/2017, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Pawan Kumar vs Sarita*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Rohtak, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Jhajjar, who may try the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Accordingly, the present petition is allowed.

However, on the each date, when the petitioner puts in appearance before the Jhajjar Courts to pursue her case, the respondent will pay her travelling expenses to the tune of ₹2,000/-.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 04, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No