

**104 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH  
T.A. No.7 of 2018 (O&M)  
Date of decision : January 10, 2018**

**Veena Rani** ..... **Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Rohit Kumar** ..... **Respondent**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH**

**Present:-** Mr. Neeraj Goel, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

**KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)**

Heard.

Petitioner seeks the transfer of petition titled as “**Rohit Kumar vs Veena Rani**” filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending in the court of learned Addl. District Judge, Kurukshetra to a court of competent jurisdiction at Ambala.

I am of the view that Ambala and Kurukshetra are on the National Highway and Ambala is not a far away place from Kurukshetra. The distance between Ambala and Kurukshetra is approximately 40 kms. Moreover, in a matrimonial dispute, being a civil dispute, the petitioner is not required to appear on each and every date of hearing.

Therefore, there is no ground to transfer the petition titled as “**Rohit Kumar vs Veena Rani**” filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending before the court of learned Addl. District Judge, Kurukshetra to a court of competent jurisdiction at Ambala.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

**(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**January 10, 2018**

sarita

**Whether speaking / reasoned** Yes **Whether Reportable:** No