

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.6 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 22, 2018**

Savita Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ajay

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioner.
None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has not appeared, despite service. Therefore, he is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Ajay vs Savita Devi*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Bhiwani to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Sangrur.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner has got one year old child. She is living in village Sadrabad Naushera, Tehsil Malerkotla, District Sangrur. It is difficult for her to travel from Malerkotla to Bhiwani Courts to pursue her case.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the the petitioner and the distance between the two places and also the fact that none has come present to oppose the petition, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Ajay vs Savita Devi*”, pending in

the Court of learned Addl. District and Sessions Judge, Bhiwani, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Malerkotla, District Sangrur, for disposal in accordance with law.

As such, the present petition is allowed. Since the main petition has been allowed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 22, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No