

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(126)

TA-571-2018

Date of Decision: October 12, 2018.

Jyoti

.... Petitioner

Versus

Amandeep Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA

Present: Mr. Madan Bhandari, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaideep Verma, Advocate, for the respondent.

SUDIP AHLUWALIA, J (ORAL)

- 1) Reply filed on behalf of the respondent-husband perused.
- 2) No tangible grounds are made out to compel the petitioner-wife against whom divorce petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act has been filed by the respondent to travel all the way to Ludhiana to defend the proceedings against her when her local Court at Faridkot also has concurrent jurisdiction to try the same matter.
- 3) However, after hearing the submissions of Ld. counsel for the respondent, the Transfer Application is allowed with a direction upon the Transferee Court at Faridkot to endeavour to fix the matter in the divorce petition on the same dates on which the separate petition of the wife for maintenance under Section 125 of the Cr.P.C or any other matter involving the same parties, is pending before the competent Court at Faridkot, as far as possible.

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

October 12, 2018

harsha

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No