

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 53-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : 8.5.2018

Jyoti Petitioner

Versus

Sushil Kumar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunny Dhull, Advocate for respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case titled as **“Sushil Kumar versus Jyoti”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Karnal.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Karnal Courts and it is difficult for her to travel from Karnal to pursue her case at Yamuna Nagar Courts at Jagadhri.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and in order to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried at one place, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case titled as “*Sushil Kumar versus Jyoti*” pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Yamuna Nagar at Jagadhri is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Karnal, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.5.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No