

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Transfer Application No.528 of 2018

Date of Decision: August 30, 2018

Chanchal & another

...Petitioners

Versus

Raj Kumar Garg

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Virendra Rana, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

None for the respondent.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

The petitioners have filed two petitions, one under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and another under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, the Act) for dissolution of marriage, whereas the petition sought to be transferred is under Section 9 of the Act filed by the respondent-husband at Rohtak.

It has been contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondent-husband has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Act, 1955 at Rohtak. The petition filed by the petitioners under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and divorce petition are pending in Courts at Bhiwani. The respondent has already put in appearance in the divorce petition at Bhiwani. In such circumstances, transfer petition has been filed.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondent despite service.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that it is a fit case for transferring the petition under Section 9 of the Act titled as “Raj Kumar Versus Chanchal” pending at Rohtak to Bhiwani. Ordered accordingly.

Resultantly, the application is allowed.

August 30, 2018
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No