102 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No.5 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : January 10, 2018

Rajbala and others ..... Petitioners

Versus

Ramesh Kumar and others ..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Ajay Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioners seek transfer of the suit for specific performance titled as ***"Ramesh Kumar and others vs Rajbala and others",*** pending in the court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Narwana, District Jind to a court of competent jurisdiction at Panchkula on the ground of illness of son of petitioner No.1.

It is stated that son of petitioner No.1 is suffering from depression and various ailments. Therefore, she cannot appear at Narwana.

I am of the view that in civil matters, the petitioner is not required to appear in person on each and every date of hearing. There is no just ground to transfer the suit for specific performance pending in the court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.), Narwana, District Jind to a court of competent jurisdiction at Panchkula.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 10, 2018
sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes
Whether Reportable: No

SARITA RANI
2018.01.11 16:48
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh