

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 49 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.4.2018

Sushma Petitioner

Versus

Parveen Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.S. Virk, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent duly served, but not present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. DMC No. 447/2017, titled as *Parveen Versus Sushma*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Sonapat, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Panipat.

It is stated that two other cases, one of criminal complaint under Sections 498-A, 406 etc. and another petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C., are already pending between the parties at Panipat Courts.

Considering said facts, it is deemed proper that all the cases pending between the parties are decided at one place. Therefore, petition i.e. DMC No. 447/2017, titled as *Parveen Versus Sushma*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of

TA No. 49 of 2018 (O/M)

learned District Judge, Family Court, Sonapat, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Panipat, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No