Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2018.05.28 16:13
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 483 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2018

Komal Kaur ..... Petitioner

Versus

Kartar Singh ..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Raminder Pratap Kaur, Advocate, for,
Mr. N.S. Lucky, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, titled as *Kartar Singh Versus Komal Kaur*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in Court of learned District Judge, Mansa, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Barnala.

It comes out that parties last resided at Mansa. The witnesses also belong to Mansa. Mere fact that petitioner belongs to Dhanaula Mandi, Tehsil and District Barnala, is no ground to transfer case from Mansa Courts to Barnala Courts. However, appropriate order can be passed to compensate petitioner, if she appears in person before Mansa Courts. Therefore, it is ordered that whenever petitioner appears in person before Mansa Courts, she will be paid Rs. 2,000/- by respondent husband on each date of appearance as expenses.

With abovenoted direction, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No
Whether Reportable : Yes / No