

203

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 998 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 5.4.2018

Shilpa

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ashok Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate, for,
Mr. B.S. Saini, Advocate,
for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

..-

..-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply filed in Court today and same is taken on record.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. HMA No. 511/2017, dated 4.10.2017, titled as *Ashok Kumar Versus Shilpa*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Rajpura.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that another application filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is already pending between the parties at Rajpura Courts. It is difficult for her to go from Rajpura to Ludhiana to pursue the petition filed by respondent under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

TA No. 998 of 2017 (O/M)

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition i.e. HMA No. 511/2017, dated 4.10.2017, titled as *Ashok Kumar Versus Shilpa*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rajpura. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

5.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No