

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM No. 10673-CII-2018 in/and
TA No. 434 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 24.5.2018

Rajwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kawaljit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Advocate,
for applicant-petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-10673-CII-2018

Copies of interim orders and amended index of transfer petition are taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case

From copies of interim orders, it comes out that petition No. HMA 901/2016, titled as *Kawaljit Singh Versus Rajwinder Kaur*, was filed by respondent husband under Section 10 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 on 9.11.2016 and present petitioner wife put in appearance on 9.11.2017. Thereafter, written statement was filed, issues were framed and now three opportunities have already been granted to petitioner's husband to adduce evidence.

TA No. 434 of 2018 (O/M)

-2-

I am of the view that in this case, trial has already begun. Petitioner wife is not required to appear in person in Court, except for reconciliation proceedings and for her evidence. Therefore, at this stage, it is not deemed appropriate to transfer the trial to some other Court outside Patiala. If objection of petitioner is that she has to bear expenses, same can be met by allowing expenses for appearance. As such, petition is dismissed. It is directed that whenever, petitioner appears in person before trial Court, respondent husband shall pay her Rs. 2,000/- on each date of personal appearance. However, trial Court is also directed to expeditiously dispose of case.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

24.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No