

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.994 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 21, 2018**

Meenakshi Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Happy Sharma

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Sodhi, Advocate for
Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent Happy Sharma in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has appeared in person and informed this Court that two other cases between the parties, one is filed under Section 125 Cr.P.C. and other is under the Prevention of Women from Domestic and Violence Act, 2005, are pending at District Courts, Patiala. The petitioner is working as a Teacher at Village Channo, which is at a distance of 20 Kms. from Sangrur and 35 Kms. from Patiala.

It is further informed that the petitioner has already file a State case under Section 498-A IPC against the respondent at District Courts, Sangrur, in which he is facing trial.

Keeping in view the fact that Village Channo is more nearer to Sangrur than Patiala and also the fact that one more case is pending at District Courts, Sangrur, there is no ground to transfer the petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Happy*”

Sharma vs Meenakshi Sharma”, pending in the Court of learned Addl.

District Judge, Sangrur to a court of competent jurisdiction at Patiala.

As such, the present petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 21, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No