

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 990 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 3.4.2018

Sangeeta Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mukesh Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vikas Bishnoi, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply not filed.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, titled as *Mukesh Kumar Versus Sangeeta Kumari*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Fazilka, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Hisar.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that a case under Section 498-A IPC is already pending in the Hisar Courts. It is difficult for petitioner to pursue her case at Fazilka which is at a distance of more than 300 kilometers from her place.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition titled as *Mukesh Kumar Versus Sangeeta Kumari*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Fazilka, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Bhiwani, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

3.4.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No