

209
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 985-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.4.2018

Harwinder Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jaskaran Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.K.Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent No. 1 has been served through mother but no representation has been made on his behalf. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte. Respondent No. 2 is reported to be served but he is proforma respondent and need not be served. Hence, service upon respondent No. 2 is dispensed with.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as **“Jaskaran Singh versus Harwinder Kaur”** pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Mansa, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Phul, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Bathinda Courts and it is very difficult for her to pursue her case as the distance between Mansa to Phul, District Bathinda is about 50 KM.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as “Jaskaran Singh versus Harwinder Kaur” pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Mansa is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to a Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Bathinda for disposal.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No