

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 983 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.2.2018

Babaljeet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Satnam Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. A.S. Brar, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that a petition filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Satnam Singh Versus Babaljeet Kaur*, is pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda. The petitioner belongs to Tehsil Nihal Singh Wala, District Moga, and from there, no fast bus service is available. The petitioner has got a minor child. Therefore, she cannot pursue her case in Bathinda Courts.

Respondent was served for today, but he has not come present which shows that he is not interested in pursuing the matter.

I have heard the learned counsel for petitioner and have also carefully gone through the file.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner, petition filed by respondent husband under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Satnam Singh Versus Babaljeet Kaur*, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Bathinda, is withdrawn from the said Court and is

TA No. 983 of 2017 (O/M)

transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Moga, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to any other Court of competent jurisdiction. Instant petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.2.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No