

**206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.976 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 13, 2018**

Kanchan Petitioner

Versus

Malkit Singh Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Bikram Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has not appeared, despite service. He be proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Malkit Singh vs Kanchan*” pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ambala.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that one case under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is already pending between the parties at District Courts, Ambala and the present petition under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has been filed at Fatehgarh Sahib Courts only to harass the petitioner. The petitioner is unable to pursue her case at Fatehgarh Sahib Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by learned counsel for the petitioner and to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties

should be tried and decided at one place, the petition filed under 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Malkit Singh vs Kanchan*” pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Fatehgarh Sahib, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Ambala, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 13, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No