

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.975 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 28, 2018**

Priyanka

..... Petitioner

Versus

Gagandeep Arora

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Rishma Verma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent Gagandeep Arora, is present in person in the Court today and states that he has no objection, if the two cases pending between the parties one filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Gagandeep Arora vs Priyanka”*** and the second filed under Section 25 of the Guardian & Wards Act, 1955, titled as ***“Gagandeep Arora vs Priyanaka”***, pending in the Court of learned Guardian Judge/Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana, are transferred to competent Court(s) at Jalandhar.

Consequently, both the aforesaid petitions are withdrawn from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Jr. Divn.) and Guardian Judge/Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ludhiana and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr.Divn.)/Guardian Judge, Jalandhar, who may try the cases himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

The trial Court is directed to refer the matters to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre to explore the possibility of compromise between the parties, where both the parties along with the minor child be called for reconciliation.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 28, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No