

**205 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.965 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 25, 2018**

Harjit Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Palwinder Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Lakhwinder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is served but none has come present on his behalf.

Therefore, he is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Palwinder Singh vs Harjit Kaur*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Moga, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Barnala.

Heard.

It is stated that two cases between the parties are pending at Barnala Courts. It is also stated that it is difficult for the petitioner to travel from Barnala to Moga Courts to pursue her case.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried and decided at one place, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Palwinder Singh vs Harjit Kaur*”, pending in

the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Moga, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court learned District Judge, Family Court, Barnala.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

Since, the main petition has been allowed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 25, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No