

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Transfer Application No.402 of 2018
Date of Decision: August 30, 2018

Monika Oberoi

...Petitioner

Versus

Manish Oberoi

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Bokolia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AMIT RAWAL, J.

As per office report, notice issued to the respondent has been received back with the report of “refusal”.

It has been contended by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the respondent-husband has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 (for short, the Act) at Ludhiana which has been transferred to Faridkot vide order dated 7.5.2013 in Transfer Application No.90 of 2013 (Annexure P-2). Complaint under Domestic Violence Act and petition under Section 125 Cr.P.C. filed by the petitioner are pending in Courts at Faridkot. However, the respondent-husband has filed a petition under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act at Ludhiana (Annexure P-1). In such circumstances, transfer petition has been filed.

There is no representation on behalf of the respondent.

I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner, appraised the paper book and of the view that it is a fit case where petition (Annexure

P-1) titled as “Manish Oberoi Versus Monika Oberoi” pending at Ludhiana is ordered to be transferred to Faridkot keeping in view the fact that the petition under Section 9 of the Act has already been transferred in the aforementioned proceedings and the order (supra).

Application stands allowed.

August 30, 2018
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No