

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 960-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision 1.2.2018**

Monika Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Satish Sharma

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vivek Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has not appeared despite being served through counsel.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for transfer of the petition titled as '**Satish Sharma vs. Monika Sharma**' filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana.

It is stated that two other cases filed by the present petitioner are pending in the Ludhiana Courts i.e. one under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and another under Section 125 Cr. P.C.

Therefore, it is requested that all the cases should be tried at one place.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition titled as '*Satish Sharma vs. Monika Sharma*' filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Hoshiarpur is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the learned District Judge, Ludhiana who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No