

208

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 947 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 17.4.2018

Sonika

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sandeep Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Chaudhary, Advocate, for petitioner.

Mr. R.K Arya, Advocate, for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Reply not filed.

Heard.

Admittedly, the minor child whose custody is sought by respondent father is living with his mother at District Pathankot.

Considering the said fact, petition i.e. Petition/Suit No. 16 dated 31.3.2017, titled as *Sandeep Kumar Versus Sonika*, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Gurdaspur, under Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Pathankot, for disposal in accordance with law.

TA No. 947 of 2017 (O/M)

Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

17.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No