

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 384 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 27.4.2018

Ritika Arora

..... Petitioner

Versus

Himanshu Arora

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate, for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. HMA 589/2014, titled as *Himanshu Arora Versus Ritika Arora*, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Malerkotla.

It comes out that petition was filed in the year 2014 i.e. about little less than four years. It must be at advance stage. Therefore, at this stage, there is no ground to transfer petition i.e. HMA 589/2014, titled as *Himanshu Arora Versus Ritika Arora*, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Malerkotla, particularly when there is not much distance between Ludhiana and Malerkotla. Consequently, petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

27.4.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes / No