

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 943-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision 1.2.2018**

Ekta

..... Petitioner

Versus

Om Parkash

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Kamlesh, Advocate for
Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has not put in appearance despite service.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge, Kurukshetra to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Karnal.

It is stated that the petitioner has got two minor children and two cases are pending in the Karnal Courts i.e. one under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and another under Section 125 Cr. P.C. Therefore, it is requested that all the cases should be tried at one place.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition titled as “***Om Parkash vs. Ekta***”, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional Civil Judge, Kurukshetra is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Karnal.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

1.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No