

206 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No.940 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : April 17, 2018

Manpreet Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Amarjit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Amandeep Soni, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has been served but not present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as "*Amarjit Singh vs Manpreet Kaur*", pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Gurdaspur, to a Court of competent jurisdiction Jalandhar.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that respondent is in fact residing at Jalandhar. She has also produced the copy of the statement made by the respondent before police on 06.02.2018, wherein he has mentioned his address at Jalandhar.

Considering the entirety of the facts and circumstances, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as "*Amarjit Singh vs Manpreet Kaur*", pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Gurdaspur, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 17, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes Whether Reportable: No