

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-365-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 29.05.2018

Nirmal Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

Satnam Kaur

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Ms. Deepa Jain, Advocate for the petitioner
None for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Respondent has not appeared despite service. He is proceeded against ex-parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition bearing HMA No.365 of 2017 filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Satnam Kaur vs. Nirmal Singh* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Panchkula to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Kurukshetra.

It comes out that the petitioner wife had earlier filed a divorce petition in the Court of learned Additional Principal Judge, (Family Court), Faridabad. The same was withdrawn on 28.9.2017 with liberty to file a fresh one at Panchkula Court. It is stated that in the said petition, the petitioner has given her Faridabad address. Now, she claims that she has shifted from Faridabad to Panchkula. Mere shifting of place of residence to Panchkula is

no ground to file a petition at Panchkula Court.

It being so, the present petition bearing HMA No.365 of 2017 filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Satnam Kaur vs. Nirmal Singh* pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Panchkula is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to learned District Judge, Kurukshetra, who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

29.05.2018

gk

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Whether Reportable:

(Kuldip Singh)
Judge

Yes

No