

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.924 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 11, 2018**

Dr. Aarushi Chaudhary **Petitioner**

Versus

Dr. Vikas Dhiloon **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sumit Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Respondent in person.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “***Dr. Vikas Dhillon vs Dr. Aarushi Chaudhary***” pending in the court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jind to a court of competent jurisdiction at Karnal.

It comes out that both the parties are doctors and posted at Karnal. Respondent, who is present in person in the Court today, states that father of the petitioner is a senior advocate and practicing at District Courts, Karnal. Therefore, he will not get justice from District Courts, Karnal and has opposed the prayer of the petitioner for transferring the aforesaid case to the District Courts at Karnal. However, he is agreed that the case may be transferred to a nearby place.

Accordingly, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “***Dr. Vikas Dhillon vs Dr. Aarushi Chaudhary***” pending in the court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jind is

withdrawn from the said court and transferred to the court of learned District Judge, Kurukshetra, who may retain the case with himself or entrust it to any other court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

Further direction is issued that since both the parties are doctors, efforts should be made at the initial stage for effecting compromise between the parties.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 11, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No