

**211 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.920 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 19, 2018**

Jyoti **..... Petitioner**

Versus

Sumit Sharma **..... Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Notice issued to the respondent has been received back with the report that respondent was not found available. However, father and mother of the respondent were available but they refused to accept notice. Therefore, the respondent is deemed to be duly served through parents.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petitioner filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Sumit Sharma vs Jyoti Sharma*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Ludhiana to a court of competent jurisdiction at Kaithal, where two other cases between the parties are stated to be pending.

It is claimed that the petitioner has one year old child and she cannot go to Ludhiana to pursue her case.

As such, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Sumit Sharma vs Jyoti Sharma*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Ludhiana, is withdrawn from his

court and transferred to the court of learned District Judge, Kaithal, who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 19, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No