

212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No.905 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 19, 2018

Meenakshi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rakesh Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. I.S. Pabla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a case filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, bearing No.HMA 38 of 2017, titled as “**Rakesh Kumar vs Meenakshi**”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Kaithal to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Kalka (Panchkula).

Heard.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that he has no objection, if the aforesaid case is transferred to a place between Kaithal and Kalka.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner states that two other cases between the parties are pending at Kalka Courts.

Learned counsel for the respondent states that the respondent has apprehension of some trouble at Kalka.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the case filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “**Rakesh**

Kumar vs Meenakshi”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Kaithal, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Ambala, who may retain the file himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is disposed of.

Since the main petition has been disposed of, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

March 19, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No