

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 903-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 23.2.2018

Leena **Petitioner**
Versus
Gaurav Malhotra **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, respondent has been served through father.

But no representation has been made on his behalf.

Heard.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code, 1908 for transfer of the petition titled as '**Gaurav Malhotra vs. Leena**' filed under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, pending in the Court of learned Guardian Judge/Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ludhiana to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that vide order dated 27.10.2016, passed in TA No. 205 of 2016 (Annexure P-1), divorce petition filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, filed by respondent-husband was transferred from Ludhiana to Chandigarh.

It is further stated that minor children is also living with petitioner-wife at Chandigarh.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition titled as '*Gaurav Malhotra vs. Leena*' filed under Section 25 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, pending in the Court of learned Guardian Judge/Civil Judge (Senior Division), Ludhiana is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Guardian Judge/Civil Judge (Senior Division), Chandigarh.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.2.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No