

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No. 890-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.4.2018**

Sandeep Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jewan Singh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Jyolika, Advocate for
Mr. H.P.S. Ishar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, counsel for respondent before the trial Court has refused to accept service. Therefore, he is deemed to have been served. No representation has been made on behalf of respondent today.

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as **“Jewan Singh versus Sandeep Kaur and another”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Mansa to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Sangrur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner has filed a petition under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure at Sangrur Courts and she is unable to travel from Sangrur to Mansa to pursue her case.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner, petition filed under Section 11 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 titled as **“Jewan Singh versus Sandeep Kaur and another”** pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Mansa is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Sangrur, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to any other Court of competent jurisdiction for trial.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.4.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No