

207

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 874 of 2017 (O/M)

Date of decision : 12.1.2018

Smt. Sonia

..... Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Kuldeep

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. S.K. Rana, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent duly served through uncle, but not present.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that a criminal case under Sections 406, 498-A, 506 IPC as well as one application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. between the parties is pending at Faridabad Courts. Now, respondent has filed a petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Kuldeep Versus Smt. Sonia* in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram. Petitioner seeks the transfer of said petition to Faridabad Courts.

In view of the fact that two cases are already pending between the parties at Faridabad Courts, petition filed by respondent under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as *Kuldeep Versus Smt. Sonia*, pending in the Court of District Judge, Family Court, Gurgaon/Gurugram, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Faridabad, who may try the case himself or entrust it to Court of competent jurisdiction.

Petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

12.1.2018

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	No