

**213 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.869 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : April 20, 2018**

Neha **..... Petitioner**

Versus

Sarvesh and another **..... Respondents**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Manoj Kumar Taya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunal Mulwani, Advocate for the respondent No.1.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition bearing No.DMC/319/2017 filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as “*Sarvesh vs Neha and another*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Sonipat to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Gurugram.

Reply filed in the Registry, is taken on record.

Heard.

Learned counsel for respondent No.1 does not deny that respondent No.1 is working at Gurugram.

The petitioner is also residing at Gurugram.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two other cases, one filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 and the other filed under the Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005, between the parties are pending at Gurugram Courts.

Considering the aforesaid fact and to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried and decided at one place, the petition bearing No.DMC/319/2017 filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Sarvesh vs Neha and another”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge (Family Court), Sonipat, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

Since, it is a dispute between the husband and wife, the learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram, is directed to first make the efforts for compromise between the parties by referring the case to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre and if the compromise is not effected, decide the case expeditiously.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

April 20, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No