

211

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 3 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.3.2018

Suman Petitioner

Versus

Pawan Kumar Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. C.P. Tiwana, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that children of parties are with husband.

Respondent served through father, but not present.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. HMA No. 105 of 2017, titled as *Pawan Kumar Versus Suman*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Panipat, to the Court of learned District Judge, Kaithal. It is stated that one FIR case is pending at Kaithal Courts.

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that distance between Panipat and Kaithal is more than 100 kilometers. It is difficult for petitioner to pursue her case at Panipat Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner and in view of fact that respondent has not appeared and contested the case before this Court, petition i.e. HMA No. 105 of 2017, titled as *Pawan Kumar Versus Suman*, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in

TA No. 3 of 2018 (O/M)

the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Panipat, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Kaithal, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No