

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.855 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 26, 2018**

Radha Devi

..... Petitioner

Versus

Hardeep Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohinder Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is duly served but not present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Hardeep Singh vs Radha Devi”***, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Pathankot, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that father of the petitioner has died. There is no male member in her family to accompany her to pursue her case at Pathankot Courts. The distance between Pathankot and Jalandhar is approximately 130 Kms. She also apprehends danger to her life at the hands of respondent and his family members at Pathankot. She has already made a complaint in this regard.

Considering the difficulty expressed by learned counsel of the petitioner, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act,

1955, titled as “*Hardeep Singh vs Radha Devi*”, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Pathankot, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Jalandhar, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 26, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No