

209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 850 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 23.3.2018

Reena Rani

..... Petitioner

Versus

Kuldeep Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K. Pundir, Advocate, for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
 2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?
- . - .-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

None is present for respondent, nor any reply has been filed.

Heard. Case of respondent is considered.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition i.e. HMA No. 243 of 2017, titled as *Kuldeep Singh Versus Reena Rani*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Barnala, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana. It is contended on behalf of petitioner that petitioner has filed a petition under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, at Ludhiana Courts where another application under Section 125 Cr.P.C. is pending. As a counter blast of same, respondent has filed a petition under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, at Barnala Courts.

TA No. 850 of 2017 (O/M)

-2-

Considering the said fact, petition i.e. HMA No. 243 of 2017, titled as *Kuldeep Singh Versus Reena Rani*, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Barnala, is withdrawn from the said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main petition has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

23.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No