

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No. 29-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 16.5.2018

Sapna Petitioner
Versus
Arun Sindhi Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. M.K.Garg, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has been served through counsel before the trial Court. But no representation has been made on behalf of respondent. Hence, proceeded against ex-parte.

This is a petition filed under Section 12 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908, seeking transfer of the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. 1217/2017 titled as **“Arun Sindhi versus Sapna”** pending in the Court of Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rajpura to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that two cases between the parties are already pending at Jind Courts and it is difficult for her to travel from Jind to pursue her case at Rajpura Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner; the fact that none has appeared to oppose the petition and in order to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried at one place, petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 in case No. 1217/2017 titled as “Arun Sindhi versus Sapna” pending in the Court of Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rajpura is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of Civil Judge, (Senior Division), Jind, for disposal.

In view of above, petition stands allowed.

Since the main petition is allowed, the miscellaneous application pending if any also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

16.5.2018

preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No