

131

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

TA No.286 of 2018

Date of Decision: 23.10.2018

HARPREET KAUR

.....Petitioner

Vs

RAVI KUMAR

....Respondent

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Ramesh Chand Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajinder Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act titled '*Ravi Kumar vs. Harpreet Kaur*' from the Court of District Judge, Family Court, SBS Nagar to the Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar on the ground that the marriage of the petitioner was solemnized with the respondent on 25.02.2011. Two children took birth out of this wedlock on 04.01.2012 and 03.10.2013 respectively. Both the children are in the custody of the petitioner. The proceedings under Section 125 Cr.P.C. for grant of maintenance are pending

in the Court at Nakodar.

I have considered the submissions made by learned counsel for the parties.

In the matters arising out of matrimonial proceedings, convenience of the womenfolk is to be appreciated on the strength of **Sumita Singh vs. Kumar Sanjay and another, AIR 2002 SC 396** and **Neelam Kanwar vs. Devinder Singh Kanwar, (2000) 10 SCC 589**, unless and until the same causes irreparable loss to the husband.

Distance between Jalandhar and SBS Nagar is about 60 kms. It would be just and appropriate to transfer the case from the District Judge, Family Court, SBS Nagar to the court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

The District Judge, Jalandhar on receipt of record would assign the case to the Court of competent jurisdiction.

This revision petition is allowed in the aforesaid terms.

October 23, 2018

Atik

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Yes/No
Yes/No