

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 279 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 18.5.2018

Bhawna

..... Petitioner

Versus

Pankaj Tandon

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Rishu Mahajan, Advocate,
for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is duly served through mother, but not present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, titled as *Pankaj Tandon Versus Bhawna*, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of Shri Akshdeep Singh, learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Amritsar.

The learned counsel for petitioner states that two cases between the parties are already pending at Amritsar Courts.

Considering the distance between Ludhiana and Amritsar and

TA No. 279 of 2018 (O/M)

the fact that two cases between the parties are already pending at Amritsar Courts, it is deemed proper that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried at one place. As such, petition, titled as *Pankaj Tandon Versus Bhawna*, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of Shri Akshdeep Singh, learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Ludhiana, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Amritsar, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

18.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No