

**203 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.830 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 13, 2018**

Harmanjot Kaur

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jobanjit Singh

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Vansh Malhotra, Advocate for
Mr. Arpan Sabharwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent has refused to accept notice. He has not appeared, despite affixation. He be proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Jobanjit Singh vs Harmanjot Kaur”*** pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patti, District Tarn Taran, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

It is contended that petitioner has got two minor children and distance between Patti and Jalandhar is about 100 Kms. and it is difficult for her to pursue her case at Patti Courts. Moreover, three other litigation are pending between the parties at Jalandhar Courts.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and in order to ensure that all the litigation pending between the parties should be tried and decided at one place, the petition filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Jobanjit Singh vs Harmanjot Kaur”***

pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patti, District Tarn Taran, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar, who may retain the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 13, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No