

**218 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.266 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 15, 2018**

Kavita **..... Petitioner**

Versus

Ketan Anand **..... Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Mohd. Yousaf, Advocate for the petitioner.

None for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is duly served but has not come present. Therefore, he is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a case bearing No.HMA/66/2017, dated 29.11.2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Ketan Anand vs Kavita”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.-II), Kapurthala to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that three other cases between the parties are pending at Jalandhar Courts. One case is FIR No.13, dated 15.03.2018, under Sections 406, 498-A and 34 IPC. The other is under Section 12 of The Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and the third is an application under Section 125 Cr.P.C.

In order to ensure that all the cases pending between the parties are tried at one place and keeping in view that the fact that none has come present to oppose the petition, the case bearing No.HMA/66/2017, dated 29.11.2017, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, titled as ***“Ketan Anand vs Kavita”***, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.-II), Kapurthala, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar, who may try the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No