

106 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

T.A. No.263 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of decision : March 26, 2018

Akanksha Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Yuvraj and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Aashna Gill, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of a case No.CS CJ 1685 of 2017, titled as *“Yuvraj Singh and others vs Union Territory of Chandigarh and Ors.,* from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Chandigarh to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Gurugram.

Heard.

After going through the contents of the case, it comes out that a large numbers of newspaper agencies have been made party, requesting gag orders against them. Said Newspapers/agencies are not party to the present petition. Therefore, by way of filing of the present petition, the aforesaid case cannot be transferred from the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Chandigarh to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Gurugram.

As such, the present petition is dismissed. Since the main petition is dismissed, therefore, the pending application, if any, also stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 26, 2018

sarita