

202

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 821 of 2017 (O/M)
Date of decision : 22.3.2018

Rajkumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

Geeta alias Gitanjali

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate, for,
Mr. Parveen Kaushik, Advocate,
for petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned proxy counsel appearing for learned counsel for petitioner states that petition has become infructuous. Therefore, he withdraws the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn. Since main petition has been dismissed as withdrawn, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

22.3.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No