

**214 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.259 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : May 15, 2018**

Marthan **Petitioner**

Versus

Johan Bhatti **Respondent**

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sunil Kumar Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent is duly served through his mother but not come present. Therefore, he is proceeded against ex parte.

Petitioner seeks transfer of a petition bearing RBT No.346, dated 28.10.2016, filed under Section 10 of the Indian Divorce Act, 1969, titled as “*Johan Bhatti vs Marthan*”, pending in the Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Gurdaspur, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Ludhiana.

Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the parents of the petitioner are dead and she got two minor children. Therefore, she cannot go from Ludhiana to Gurdaspur Courts to pursue her case. The distance between the two places is about 155 Kms.

Considering the difficulty expressed by the petitioner and also the fact that none has come present to oppose the petition, the petition bearing RBT No.346, dated 28.10.2016, filed under Section 10 of the Indian Divorce Act, 1969, titled as “*Johan Bhatti vs Marthan*”, pending in the

Court of learned Addl. District Judge, Gurdaspur, is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of learned District Judge, Ludhiana, who may try the case himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

May 15, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No