

222

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 257 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 14.5.2018

Rajni

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepak Verma

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Ms. Jasvir Kaur, Advocate,
for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent duly served through mother, but not present. He is proceeded against ex parte.

Heard. Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, titled as *Deepak Verma Versus Rajni*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jalandhar

The learned counsel for petitioner contends that two case between the parties are already pending at Jalandhar Courts. It is stated that there is no male member to accompany the petitioner to pursue her case at Ludhiana Courts.

TA No. 257 of 2018 (O/M)

Considering the difficulty expressed by petitioner and also fact that two cases between the parties are already pending at Jalandhar Courts, petition, titled as *Deepak Verma Versus Rajni*, filed under Section 13 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Ludhiana, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to Court of learned District Judge, Jalandhar, who may retain the case himself or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed. Since main case has been allowed, therefore, pending application, if any, also stands disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

14.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No