

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.812 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 21, 2018**

Kavita Verma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Dharinder Kumar

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Naveen S. Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Vishal Goel, Advocate for the respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition filed under Section 25/29/8 of the Guardian and Wards Act, 1890, titled as “***Dharinder Kumar vs Kavita Verma***”, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Patiala, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Bhiwani.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the minor never resided at Patiala. Therefore, the Guardian Judge at District Courts, Patiala has no jurisdiction.

It is further contended that due to distance of 240 Kms. between Patiala and Bhiwani, petitioner is unable to pursue her case at District Courts, Patiala.

On the other hand, it is not denied that the objection regarding the jurisdiction at Patiala Courts has taken before the learned Guardian Judge, who has framed the issue regarding the same and is yet to take a decision.

The petition is pending since the year 2014 before the learned Guardian Judge, Patiala and the present petition has been filed in the year 2017.

Since, the learned Guardian Judge, is yet to take decision as to whether it has got jurisdiction and the same point is being pressed here, therefore, at this stage, this Court does not find any ground to transfer the aforesaid case to any other court.

As such, the present revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

February 21, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No