

**207 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**T.A. No.807 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : January 09, 2018**

Sangeeta Verma

..... Petitioner

Versus

Rajesh Verma

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Imran Ali, Advocate for
Mr. Ankit Chowdhri, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

As per office report, notice issued to the respondent has been received back with the report of 'incomplete address'. However, the respondent has been served through his counsel before the lower court. Regarding summons of the respondent, report is received that he is residing somewhere else on rent. The address given by the respondent in the petition is mentioned in the memo of parties. Therefore, the respondent is deemed to be duly served.

This is the petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code for transfer of the case No.157 of 2017, titled as “**Rajesh Verma vs Sangeeta Verma**”, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Chandigarh.

It is stated that a complaint under the Protection of Women against Domestic Violence Act, 2005 filed by the present petitioner is pending at District Courts, Chandigarh. The petitioner has to go to

Jalandhar to attend the court on the date of hearing to pursue her case.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case and the distance between Chandigarh and Jalandhar, the petition bearing No. 157 of 2017, titled as “***Rajesh Verma vs Sangeeta Verma***”, filed under Section 9 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Addl. Civil Judge (Sr. Divn.), Jalandhar is withdrawn from the said court and transferred to the court of District Judge, Chandigarh, who may retain the case with himself or entrust it to any other court for trial.

As such, the present petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

January 09, 2018

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No