

217

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA No. 242 of 2018 (O/M)
Date of decision : 10.5.2018

Jyoti

..... Petitioner

Versus

Virender

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Dinesh Arora, Advocate,
for petitioner.

None for respondent.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Respondent duly served, but not present to oppose transfer application.

Heard.

Petitioner seeks transfer of petition, i.e. HMA/25/2018, titled as *Virender Versus Jyoti*, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jind, to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Rohtak.

On behalf of petitioner, it is stated that father of petitioner is dead and petitioner is living at the mercy of her brother. Therefore, she cannot pursue her case at Jind Courts.

Considering the said fact and that respondent has not come present to oppose transfer application, petition, i.e. HMA/25/2018, titled as

TA No. 242 of 2018 (O/M)

Virender Versus Jyoti, filed under Section 9 of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, pending in the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Jind, is withdrawn from said Court and same is transferred to the Court of learned Civil Judge (Senior Division), Rohtak, who may retain the case with him or entrust the same to a Court of competent jurisdiction. Consequently, petition is allowed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

10.5.2018
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes / No

Whether Reportable : Yes / No