

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

TA-801-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 28.02.2018

Neelam

.....Petitioner

versus

Satish

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr. Ashish Kumar Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr. Priyavrat Parashar, Advocate for the respondent

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks transfer of divorce petition bearing no.HMA/284/2017 dated 21.08.2017 filed under Section 13(1)(a) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Satish vs. Neelam* pending before the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Katihal to a Court of competent jurisdiction at District Chandigarh.

It is stated that two cases between the parties are already pending at Chandigarh. It is difficult for the petitioner to go to the Kaithal to pursue her case.

The request has been opposed by the opposite counsel on the ground that the petitioner has been residing at Kaithal for about seven years and that witnesses also belong to Kaithal.

Considering the distance between Kaithal and Chandigarh and the fact that two other cases between the parties are pending at Chandigarh,

it is desirable that all the cases pending between the parties should be tried and decided at one place. Therefore, divorce petition bearing no.HMA/284/2017 dated 21.08.2017 filed under Section 13(1)(a) of Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 by the respondent titled as *Satish vs. Neelam* pending before the Court of learned Additional District Judge, Katihal is withdrawn from the said Court and is transferred to the learned District Judge, Chandigarh, who may retain the same himself or entrust it to a Court of competent jurisdiction.

Present petition stands allowed accordingly.

28.02.2018

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No