

103/209

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CM-380-CII-2018 in/and
T.A. No.798 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 11.01.2018

Meena Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

Deepak

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepam Raghava, Advocate for the respondent.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

CM-380-CII-2018

For the reasons mentioned in the application, same is allowed.

Written Statement along with Annexures R-1 to R-5 are taken on record.

Application stands disposed of.

TA-798-2017

This is a petition filed under Section 24 of the Civil Procedure Code for transfer of the HMA case No. 19 of 2017 titled as “**Deepak vs. Meena Kumari**”, filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending in the Court of learned District Judge, Family Court, Gurugram to a Court of competent jurisdiction at Jhajjar.

Heard.

Without going into the distance between the village of the petitioner and Guguram or Jhajjar, it comes out that four cases between the parties are pending at District Courts, Jhajjar. According to the petitioner her minor child is also studying at Jhajjar and she is also doing B.Ed at Jhajjar. As such it will be appropriate, if all the cases are tried at one place.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the petition titled as “***Deepak vs. Meena Kumari***” filed under Section 13 of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 pending in the Court of District Judge, Family Court Gurugram is withdrawn from the said Court and transferred to the Court of District Judge, Jhajjar who may retain the case with himself or entrust it to any other court of competent jurisdiction.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

11.01.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes
No